

## **Tsiseb Natural Resource Report**



## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

#### **Human wildlife conflict Poaching** Performance Indicators Management performance in 2022 Human wildlife conflict trend Number of incidents per year Commercial poaching is a serious threat to the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, conservancy benefits. The chart shows the subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators **Performance** number of incidents per category Hyena 1 Adequate staffing Subsistence Leopard Other Predators □ Commercial 2 Adequate expenditure Other Herbivores Elephant High Value 3 Audit attendance 10 35 4 NR management plan 30 5 Zonation 25 20 6 Leadership 15 7 Display of material 10 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 2014 2012 2016 2011 2019 2013 2010 2012 2013 10 Compliance 11 Game census Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022 Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category 12 Reporting & adaptive management the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species 13 Law enforcement ☐ Firearms recovered The most troublesome species ■Traps/snares recovered 14 Human Wildlife Conflict in 2022 are on the left 1.2 15 Harvesting management 1 The least troublesome species in 2022 are on the right 0.8 16 Sources of NR income 0.6 17 Benefits produced 0.4 18 Resource trends 0.2 19 Resource targets 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2010 2017 2017 Hyaena Elephant Caracal Key to performance indicators Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022 Arrests and convictions weak/bad the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; reasonable good number of incidents per category the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a 14 maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the ■ Convictions 12 10 10 8 Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in 6 place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators. Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a Other damage Crop damage Livestock theoretical optimal situation.

#### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a			Quota 2022	2		Animals actually used in 2022			Potential			
single animal: • Potential trophy value - the average	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium			Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape	Baboon	5	5									
trophy values vary depending on trophy	Leopard	0.33	0.33					dat	a		34,800	
quality, international recognition of the	Ostrich	2	2				isati.	011,			8,100	
hunting operator and the hunting area	Springbok	6	3	3		No u	KIII				2,800	702
Potential other use value - the average	Mtn Zebra	3	3			,					8,200	
meat value for common species												
or												
the average live sale value of each high												
value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												
<b>,</b> ,												

2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2020




#### **Tsiseb**


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

## Natural Resource Report continued...


## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

#### **Current wildlife numbers and status**






#### Wildlife introductions








No change

No change,

rarely recorded

Increasing

## Locally rare species




### **Annual game count**

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.


decreasing


**Locally rare species** are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.





#### **Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











# **Tsiseb**Institutional Report

## С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered: January 2001

Population (2011 census): 2250

Size (square kilometres): 7914

Registered members: 250

## **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	✓
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	×

#### **Conservancy Governance**

Number of management committee	Male	Female	Total
members	5	4	9
Attendance at AGM	46	60	106
Date of the last AGM:	07/01/2023	3	
Date of the next AGM:	March		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?			
Work plan approved?			
Annual conservancy report approved?	×		

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Type Community Devp. Social Benefits	<b>Description</b> Eif Eda Small Stock Restocking Project Hygiene Product For Female Learners	Beneficiary Blocks/areas Schools	Number 4 2

#### **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	3	2	5
Number of Community Game Guards	3	2	5
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category				Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
Benefit plannir	ng				The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial mana	gement				The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A		