

# Torra Natural Resource Report




## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...



Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

# Human wildlife conflict Human wildlife conflict trend




#### Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





## Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



## **Poaching**







## Wildlife removals – quota use and value

## Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

Quota 2021		1	Animals actually used in 2021				Potential				
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								600	
Gemsbok	1	1								4,300	
Giraffe	1	1		1					1	10,600	
Leopard	0.33	0.33		1					1	38,900	
Ostrich	3	2	1							2,000	810
Springbok	12	6	6	1	6				7	2,700	702
Mtn Zebra	5	3	2	2	2				4	6,300	4,482



#### Torra

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

## Natural Resource Report continued...

## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

### **Current wildlife numbers and status**



**Desired Number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


dark green (abundant) – reduce a lot;light green (common) – reduce a little;yellow (uncommon) – keep numbers the same;

light orange (rare) – double numbers; dark orange (very rare) – more than double numbers.

Key to wildlife status


range (very rare) – more than double numbers

Increasing




Wildlife status summary in 2021

#### Wildlife introductions



#### Wildlife mortalities




No change

No change,

rarely recorded

## Locally rare species




## **Annual game count**

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.


decreasing

**Locally rare species** are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.




## **Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











# Torra Institutional Report

## С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:June 1998Population (2011 census):930Size (square kilometres):3493Registered members:713

## **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

✓

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

### **Conservancy Governance**

Number of management committee	Male 4	Female	Total
members Attendance at AGM	71	58	7 129
Date of the last AGM:	03/02/2022		
Date of the next AGM:	Jan-23		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	<b>√</b>		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Financial Support	People	50
	Financial Support To Youth		
	Study Grant		
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members	Members	713
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset	Members	28

## **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	20	6	26	
Number of Community Game Guards	6	1	7	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	