


Torra Natural Resource Report



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...



Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a		Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020				Potential			
single animal: • Potential trophy value - the average	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape	Baboon	5	5			Premium					600	
	Gemsbok	1	1								4,300	
trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the	Giraffe	1	1								10,600	
hunting operator and the hunting area	Leopard	0.33	0.33								38,900	
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species	Ostrich	3	2	1							2,000	810
	Springbok	12	6	6		6				6	2,700	702
or	Mtn Zebra	5	3	2		2				2	6,300	4,482
the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High												
value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.												
a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												



Torra

Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

A2

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little;

yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) - double numbers;


Key to wildlife status


dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.




Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-Apr of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long-term average (2003-2019)






Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.




Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.




Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











Torra

Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:June 1998Population (2011 census):930Size (square kilometres):3493Registered members:700

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	4	3	7
Attendance at AGM	113	90	203
Date of the last AGM:	31/01/2020)	
Date of the next AGM:			
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	√		
Annual conservancy report approved?	<		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Bursaries	Students	15
	Food Parcels		
	Soup Kitchen		
	Sport Tournament		
Meat Distribution	Meat Consumption	Households	50
Other Benefits	Kraaling Nets	People	15

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	20	6	26
Number of Community Game Guards	18	1	19
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Pe	rformance Cate	egory	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
1 Member eng	gagement				The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
2a Benefit plan	nning				The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
2b Benefit dist	ribution				The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
3 Accountability Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable						ee accountable				
4 Compliance					The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)					
5 Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
6 Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A		