

# Sorris Sorris Natural Resource Report



**■** Convictions

2013 2014 2015 2016 2011 2018 2018 2020 2020

## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

140

120 100 80

60

40

20

Livestock

Other damage

## Performance Indicators Management performance in 2021 Category **Performance** 1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate expenditure 3 Audit attendance 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 6 Leadership 7 Display of material 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 10 Compliance 11 Game census 12 Reporting & adaptive management 13 Law enforcement 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 15 Harvesting management 16 Sources of NR income 17 Benefits produced 18 Resource trends 19 Resource targets **Key to performance indicators** weak/bad reasonable good

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

#### **Human wildlife conflict Poaching** Human wildlife conflict trend Number of incidents per year Commercial poaching is a serious threat to the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category Subsistence Leopard Other Predators Commercial Other Herbivores Elephant High Value 200 10 180 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2023 2014 2015 2016 2013 2016 2019 2010 2010 Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021 Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species ☐ Firearms recovered The most troublesome species ■Traps/snares recovered in 2021 are on the left 5 50 The least troublesome species 40 in 2021 are on the right 30 20 10 2023 2024 2025 2026 2021 2028 2020 2020 Jackal Cheetah Caracal Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021 Arrests and convictions the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; number of incidents per category the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type

## Wildlife removals - quota use and value

# Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal: Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

conservancy landscape

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

u —	iota use and value											
		Quota 2021		Animals actually used in 2021						Potential		
	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
	Baboon	5	5								600	
	Gemsbok	2	1	1							4,300	2,916
	Leopard	1	1								38,900	
	Ostrich	1	1								2,000	
	Springbok	6	2	4	2					2	2,700	702
	Mtn Zebra	1	1								6,300	



### **Sorris Sorris**

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

## Natural Resource Report continued...

## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

## **Current wildlife numbers and status**



**Desired Number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same;


light orange (rare) - double numbers;

dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.

Key to wildlife status

Increasing


rare



#### Wildlife introductions



## Wildlife mortalities




No change

No change,

rarely recorded

## Locally rare species




## Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.


decreasing

Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



### **Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











# Sorris Sorris Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

# С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

## **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:October 2001Population (2011 census):950Size (square kilometres):2290Registered members:600

## **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

### **Conservancy Governance**

Male 6	Female	Total 9
67	69	136
05/02/2021		
Jan-23		
✓		
4		
<		
	6 67 <b>05/02/2021</b>	6 3 67 69 <b>05/02/2021</b>

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number	
Social Benefits	Financial Support To School	Learners	300	
	Financial Support To Sport	People	18	
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members	Households	64	
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset	Members	40	

## **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	4	2	6
Number of Community Game Guards	4	1	5
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

## Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
   Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	