Sobbe **Natural Resource Report**

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators




Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict

Human wildlife conflict trend




the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Poaching



Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to





Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

	Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020						Potential	
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Buffalo	5	3	2	2					2	79,200	7,425
Duiker	2		2								189
Eland*	1	1									6,964
Elephant*	5	3	2		2				2	220,800	90,000
Kudu	6	4	2		1				1	5,900	3,483
Roan*	1	1		1					1	61,700	62,250
Steenbok	2		2								162
Warthog	1	1								2,300	
Blue Wildebeest*	2	2		1					1	4,300	4,070
B. Zebra	8	4	4	3	2				5	5,100	4,725



Sobbe

Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

rmation A2

Increasing

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status



60

50

40

30

20


2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2013 2018 2019 2010

Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – reduce a lot; light green (common) – reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) – keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) – double numbers; dark orange (very rare) – more than double numbers.


Buffalo

2012 2013 2013 2014 2015 2016 2013 2018 2019 2020



No change

No change,



decreasing

Fixed route patrols

Kudu

30


25

20


15

10


charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years




Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities



Locally rare species




Vegetation monitoring




Fire monitoring

Flags



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





SobbeInstitutional Report

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:October 2006Population (2011 census):1010Size (square kilometres):391Registered members:992

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	8	7	15
members	O	,	15
Attendance at AGM	38	27	65
Date of the last AGM:	11/12/2020		
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-21		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	<		

Benefit Distribution

Type Meat Distribution	Description Meat To Members	Beneficiary	Number

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	15	8	23
Number of Community Game Guards	10	5	15
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category		Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
1 Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
2a Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
2b Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
3 Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
4 Compliance			The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)				
5 Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
6 Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes: none weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional	N/A		