

Sheya Shuushona **Natural Resource Report**




maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators Management performance in 2021 **Performance** 1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate expenditure 3 Audit attendance 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 6 Leadership 7 Display of material 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 10 Compliance 11 Game census 12 Reporting & adaptive management 13 Law enforcement 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 15 Harvesting management 16 Sources of NR income 17 Benefits produced 18 Resource trends 19 Resource targets **Key to performance indicators** weak/bad reasonable good Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching







Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

· Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat


Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies


	C	Quota 202:	L	Animals actually used in 2021						Potential	
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Duiker	2	2								1,800	
Elephant*	0.33	0.33						1	1	136,900	90,000
Ostrich	4	1	3							2,800	810
Springbok	40	5	35							900	702
Steenbok	2	2								1,800	

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status



Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities



No change


No change,

rarely recorded

Increasing

Sightings indicator

Locally rare species




Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.


decreasing

Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





Sheya Shuushona **Institutional Report**



Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: September 2005

Population (2011 census): 2960

Size (square kilometres): 5067

Registered members: 9807

Benefit Distribution

Key Compliance Requirements

was an AGM neid?	
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	~

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee	Male	Female	Total	
members	8	11	19	
Attendance at AGM	65	42	107	
Date of the last AGM:	29/12/2021			
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-22			
Other important issues				
Budget approved?	· •			
Work plan approved?	~			
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓			

E	بحام	···· - ··· - 1
	pioy	ment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	4	3	7
Number of Community Game Guards	2	2	4
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members And Ta	Households	
		Schools	3
		Та	

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	