


Sheya Shuushona **Natural Resource Report**



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators






Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good

maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2018-2020

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching







Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

rating in all 17 indicators.

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat


Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020						Potential		
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Duiker	2	2								1,800	
Elephant*	0.33	0.33		1					1	136,900	90,000
Ostrich	4	1	3							2,800	810
Springbok	40	5	35		4	20			24	900	702
Steenbok	2	2								1,800	


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Vegetation monitoring


Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-Apr of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long-term average (2003-2019)



Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species




Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.





Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











Sheya Shuushona **Institutional Report**

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: September 2005

Population (2011 census): 2960

Size (square kilometres): 5067

Registered members: 20988

Was an AGM held?

Key Compliance Requirements

were elections held?	•			
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓			
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓			
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓			
Benefit Distribution				

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total	
Number of management committee members	7	11	18	
Attendance at AGM	71	90	161	
Date of the last AGM:	05/12/2020			
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-21			
Other important issues				
Budget approved?	~			
Work plan approved?	~			
Annual conservancy report approved?	,			

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	3	3	6
Number of Community Game Guards	3	2	5
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Type **Description Beneficiary** Number Funding For The Development Of Kind Children Community Devp.

		Kindergarten	2
Social Benefits	Diesel For Boreholes	People	38
	Food For San Community	People	198
	Provision Of Water Tanks (1000 L)	People	91
	Provision Of Water Tanks (5000 L)	People	38
Other Benefits	Ta Meetings		

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category			
1 Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members			
2a Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner			
2b Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner			
3 Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable			
4 Compliance			The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)			
5 Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders			
6 Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances			
Colour codes: none weak	moderat	te	strong exceptional N/A			