


Puros Natural Resource Report



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...



Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a			Quota 202:	1		Anir	nals actua	ally used i	n 2021		Pote	ntial
single animal: • Potential trophy value - the average	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape	Baboon	5	5								600	
trophy values vary depending on trophy	Giraffe	1	1								10,600	
quality, international recognition of the	Leopard	0.33	0.33								38,900	
hunting operator and the hunting area	Ostrich	1	1								2,000	
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species	Mtn Zebra	4	2	2							6,300	4,482
meat value for common species												
or												
the average live sale value of each high												
value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.												
a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												
5,10 51.41.04 11.41.041.07.001.041.01.00												

Puros


Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

A2

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status



Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same;


light orange (rare) - double numbers;

Key to wildlife status

Increasing


rare

dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.




Wildlife status summary in 2021

Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




No change

No change,

rarely recorded

Locally rare species




Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.


decreasing


Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.





Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











Puros Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:May 2000Population (2011 census):510Size (square kilometres):3562Registered members:300

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total	
Number of management committee members	9	2	11	
Attendance at AGM				
Date of the last AGM:	30/11/2021			
Date of the next AGM:	Jun-22			
Other important issues				
Budget approved?	✓			
Work plan approved?	✓			
Annual conservancy report approved?	4			

Benefit Distribution

Type Social Benefits Meat Distribution	Description Payment Of Teachers' Salaries Meat For Agm	Beneficiary People Members	Number

Employment

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)			32	
Number of Community Game Guards			9	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				
Lodge staff	6	3	9	

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category				Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A		