
Otjombinde Natural Resource Report

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...




Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:	Species		Quota 2022		Animals actually used in 2022				Potential			
		Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use &	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other us
Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the	Duiker	2		2		Premium	Jen	G Juic	Ammai		value 149	1
conservancy landscape	Elephant*	0.33	0.33								491,200	
trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the	Kudu	1	0.00	1							10 2)200	3,4
hunting operator and the hunting area	Leopard	0.33	0.33								130,000	
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species												
·												
or												
the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High												
value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												
b) is shared with other conservancies												


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status






Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities



Annual game count - not undertaken in the east

Locally rare species




Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Flags

No change


charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year **Predator monitoring** status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





decreasing



Increasing











OtjombindeInstitutional Report

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: March 2011

Population (2011 census): 4680

Size (square kilometres): 5891

Registered members: 120

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	×
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	×
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	×
Was the financial report presented and approved?	×

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total	
Number of management committee members	5	3	8	
Attendance at AGM				
Date of the last AGM:				
Date of the next AGM:				
Other important issues				
Budget approved?				
Work plan approved?				
Annual conservancy report approved?				

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number

Employment

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	13	1	14	
Number of Community Game Guards	12	1	13	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Per	rformance Cate	gory	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit plannir	Benefit planning The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner								
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	