


# Orupupa Natural Resource Report



## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...



#### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a		Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020				Potential			
single animal:	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use &	Shoot &	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape	Kudu*	2	1	1		Premium					9,900	
· ·	Leopard	1	1								38,900	
trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the	Ostrich	2	1	1							2,000	810
hunting operator and the hunting area	Springbok	8	2	6				, v	le		2,700	702
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species						Offtake		Vaila				
meat value for common species							, (	X 84				
or							, atall					
the average live sale value of each high						ve	, do					
value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat						Office.						
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.												
a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												



#### Orupupa

Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

### **A2**

## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

#### **Current wildlife numbers and status**




**Desired Number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same;

light orange (rare) - double numbers;

dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.

Key to wildlife status




Wildlife status summary in 2020


#### **Vegetation monitoring**

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-Apr of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long-term average (2003-2019)


# **NDVI 2020** Orupupa



#### Wildlife mortalities




#### Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


#### Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.





#### **Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











# Orupupa Institutional Report

# С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:March 2011Population (2011 census):1820Size (square kilometres):1234Registered members:1053

#### **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

#### **Conservancy Governance**

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	8	4	12
Attendance at AGM			
Date of the last AGM:			
Date of the next AGM:	Mar-2	1	
Other important issues			
Budget approved?			
Work plan approved?			
Annual conservancy report approved?			

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Type Community Devp. Social Benefits	<b>Description</b> School Renovations Hand Sanitizers	<b>Beneficiary</b> Children People	Number 250 700

#### **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	9	1	10
Number of Community Game Guards	6		6
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Per	formance Cate	gory	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
1 Member enga	agement				The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
2a Benefit plan	enefit planning  The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manne						cipatory manner			
2b Benefit distribution The conservancy distributes benefit						s to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
3 Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
4 Compliance					The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)					
5 Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
6 Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A		