Ondjou Natural Resource Report

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...


Human wildlife conflict Poaching Performance Indicators Management performance in 2022 Human wildlife conflict trend Number of incidents per year the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, Commercial poaching is a serious threat to subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators conservancy benefits. The chart shows the Category **Performance** number of incidents per category Hyena 1 Adequate staffing Subsistence Leopard Other Predators Commercial 2 Adequate expenditure Elephant High Value 250 3 Audit attendance 1.2 200 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 0.8 150 0.6 6 Leadership 100 0.4 7 Display of material 50 0.2 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2027 2020 Top Top Top Top Top Top Top Top Top 10 Compliance 11 Game census Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022 Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category 12 Reporting & adaptive management the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species 13 Law enforcement ☐ Firearms recovered The most troublesome species ■Traps/snares recovered 14 Human Wildlife Conflict in 2022 are on the left 1.2 100 15 Harvesting management 1 80 The least troublesome species 0.8 16 Sources of NR income in 2022 are on the right 60 0.6 17 Benefits produced 40 0.4 18 Resource trends 0.2 N/A 20 19 Resource targets 201 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2010 2017 2017 reobard HAseus Mild dog Packal Cheefap Tiou Caracal Key to performance indicators Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022 Arrests and convictions weak/bad the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; reasonable good number of incidents per category the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a 200 maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the ■ Convictions 150 Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in 100 place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators. 50 Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a Crop damage Human attack Other damage Livestock theoretical optimal situation. 20th 20th 20th 20th 20th 20th 20th 20th


Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a			Quota 2022			Animals actually used in 2022					Potential	
single animal: • Potential trophy value - the average	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape	Duiker	3	1	2							9,200	189
trophy values vary depending on trophy	Elephant*	4	3	1	3	1				4	756,000	85,860
quality, international recognition of the	Gemsbok	4	4								18,100	
hunting operator and the hunting area	Kudu	5	2	3		2				2	44,000	3,483
Potential other use value - the average	Leopard	2	2		2					2	332,400	
meat value for common species	Ostrich	3	3								5,600	
or	Steenbok	4	4								3,700	
the average live sale value of each high	Warthog	1	1								14,300	
value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat												
												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1												
animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												
b) is shared with other conservancies												


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status






Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities



Annual game count - not undertaken in the east

Locally rare species




Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

> **Flags** No change


Increasing


Predator monitoring


charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



decreasing











Ondjou Institutional Report



Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: October 2006

Population (2011 census): 2720

Size (square kilometres): 8730

Registered members: 641

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	√.
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	V
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓

Conservancy Governance

Normalis and a second assessible as	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	9	3	12
Attendance at AGM	47	14	61
Date of the last AGM:	28/11/2022		
Date of the next AGM:	Oct-23		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	<		
Annual conservancy report approved?	√		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Diesel For Elephant Water	Members	
	Financial Support To School Learners	Learners	2
	Financial Support To Sport		
Meat Distribution	Game Meat	Elderlies	100
Other Benefits	Ta Allowance	Та	4

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	17	3	20
Number of Community Game Guards	16	0	16
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category				Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A		