
Omuramba ua Mbinda Natural Resource Report

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...




Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a			Quota 2021		Animals actually used in 2021				Potential			
single animal:	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use &	Shoot &	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use
Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the	Duiker	2		2		Premium	Jeii	& Jaie	Aillinai		Value IV	18
conservancy landscape	Elephant*	0.33	0.33								220,800	
trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the	Kudu*	1		1								10,84
hunting operator and the hunting area	Leopard	0.33	0.33								37,900	
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species												
or												
the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.												
a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												

Wildlife status summary in 2021

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status




Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have. dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot;

light green (common) - reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) – double numbers;


dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.



Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities



Annual game count - not undertaken in the east


Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Flags No change

Predator monitoring







Omuramba ua Mbinda Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:March 2011Population (2011 census):480Size (square kilometres):3217Registered members:120

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	√
Were elections held?	✓
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	√

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members	Male 8	Female	Total 9
Attendance at AGM			
Date of the last AGM:	11/09/2021		
Date of the next AGM:	Jun-22		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	<		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number

Employment

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)			12	
Number of Community Game Guards	10	1	11	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	