

## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

### Performance Indicators

#### Management performance in 2022

Category	Performance
1 Adequate staffing	Good
2 Adequate expenditure	Good
3 Audit attendance	Weak/Bad
4 NR management plan	Weak/Bad
5 Zonation	Weak/Bad
6 Leadership	Good
7 Display of material	Good
8 Event Book modules	Good
9 Event Book quality	Good
10 Compliance	Good
11 Game census	Good
12 Reporting & adaptive management	Good
13 Law enforcement	Good
14 Human Wildlife Conflict	Weak/Bad
15 Harvesting management	Good
16 Sources of NR income	Weak/Bad
17 Benefits produced	Weak/Bad
18 Resource trends	Weak/Bad
19 Resource targets	Weak/Bad

#### Key to performance indicators




Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.  
Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

### Human wildlife conflict


#### Human wildlife conflict trend

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators




#### Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



#### Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



### Poaching


#### Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category




#### Traps and firearms recovered

number of incidents per category



#### Arrests and convictions

number of incidents per category



### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

#### Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• **Potential trophy value** - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• **Potential other use value** - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e.

a) over a period of several years and/or  
b) is shared with other conservancies

Species	Quota 2022			Animals actually used in 2022					Potential		
	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Kudu	1		1								3,483
Springbok	2		2								702

Effective monitoring is key to understanding the status of wildlife in the conservancy and for the effective management of these resources.

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.


# monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

## Current wildlife numbers and status

Species	Animals Seen 2022	Estimated population range	Wildlife Status	
			Count Trend	Landscape Status
Elephant				
Gemsbok				
Giraffe				
Jackal				
Klipspringer				
Kudu				
Mtn. zebra				
Ostrich				
Springbok				
Steenbok				

No counts undertaken

### Key to wildlife status




### Key to count trend


dark green – strong increase  
light green – slight increase  
yellow – more or less stable  
light orange – slight decline  
dark orange – strong decline

Wildlife status summary is based on both the species trend and its status in the landscape


### Wildlife status summary in 2022




## Wildlife introductions



## Wildlife mortalities





## Locally rare species



## Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.



## Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

### Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:	May 2012
Population (2011 census):	1370
Size (square kilometres):	656
Registered members:	430

#### Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✗
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✗
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓

#### Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	9	6	15
<b>Attendance at AGM</b>			
Date of the last AGM:	28/11/2022		
Date of the next AGM:	Jul-23		
<b>Other important issues</b>			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

#### Benefit Distribution

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Borehole Installation	Members	80
	Building Material For A School	School	1
	Casual Work To Members	Members	600
Other Benefits	Small Stock Donation To Members	Households	3

#### Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	7	0	7
Number of Community Game Guards	7	0	7
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category
Member engagement	moderate	moderate	The conservancy is adequately engaging its members
Benefit planning	weak	weak	The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner
Benefit distribution	weak	weak	The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner
Accountability	moderate	moderate	Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable
Stakeholder engagement	strong	strong	The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders
Financial management	N/A	N/A	The conservancy is effectively managing its finances

Colour codes:

none

weak

moderate

strong

exceptional

N/A