
# maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

# **Performance Indicators**



weak/bad reasonable good

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a


maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in

place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good


rating in all 17 indicators.
Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

# **Human wildlife conflict**




#### Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species



#### Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



# **Poaching**

Number of incidents per year



2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2017

## Wildlife removals – quota use and value

# Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

	(	Quota 2022	2		Animals actually used in 2022					Pote	tential	
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$	
Buffalo	13	9	4	3	3				7	204,700	7,425	
Crocodile	2	1	1	1	1				2	30,000		
Elephant*	4	2	2		1				2	491,200	85,860	
Нірро	4	2	2	1	1				2	35,600	7,425	
B. Zebra	20	12	8	4	6				12	20,600	4,725	



#### **Nakabolelwa**

# Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

# **A2**

# monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

#### **Current wildlife numbers and status**







# **Fixed route patrols**

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years







#### Wildlife introductions




## Wildlife mortalities




### Locally rare species




#### **Vegetation monitoring**





0.8





# Nakabolelwa Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

# С

# Enabling wise conservancy governance...

### **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:October 2014Population (2011 census):705Size (square kilometres):114Registered members:997

## **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?

Election requirement not specified

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

## **Conservancy Governance**

Number of management committee	Male	Female	Total
members	6	9	15
Attendance at AGM	80	93	173
Date of the last AGM:  Date of the next AGM:	24/11/2022		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	<		
Annual conservancy report approved?	<b>√</b>		

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Electricity	Villages	3
Social Benefits	Funeral Support		
	Support To Churches	Churches	5
	Support To School		
Meat Distribution	Game Meat		
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset		
	Ta Allowance		

# **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	16	7	23	
Number of Community Game Guards	7	4	11	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement				The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning				The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution				The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability				Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes: none wea	ak	modera	te	strong	exceptional	N/A		