## N≠a Jaqna Natural Resource Report

A1

## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

#### **Performance Indicators** Management performance in 2022 Category **Performance** 1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate expenditure 3 Audit attendance 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 6 Leadership 7 Display of material 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 10 Compliance 11 Game census 12 Reporting & adaptive management 13 Law enforcement 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 15 Harvesting management 16 Sources of NR income 17 Benefits produced



18 Resource trends19 Resource targets

rating in all 17 indicators.

weak/bad reasonable good


Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a


Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good

maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

#### **Human wildlife conflict**






#### Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022


the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species

2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2017 2017





#### Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



#### **Poaching**







#### Wildlife removals - quota use and value

### Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

 Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

	Species	Quota 2022			Animals actually used in 2022						Potential	
		Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
	Duiker	8	3	5							9,200	189
	Eland	5	1	4	1					1	35,600	9,450
	Elephant*	5	3	2	3	2				5	756,000	85,860
	Gemsbok	5	2	3							18,100	2,916
	Kudu	4	1	3							44,000	3,483
	Leopard	3	3		3					3	332,400	
	Steenbok	24	3	21	1					1	3,700	162
	Warthog	3	1	2							14,300	540

#### N≠a Jaqna


# Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

### **A2**


## monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

#### **Current wildlife numbers and status**






#### Wildlife introductions




#### Wildlife mortalities



#### Annual game count - not undertaken in the east

#### Locally rare species





Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


**Flags** 


### **Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years













# N≠a Jaqna Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your Governance institution audit for more information

## С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

#### **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:July 2003Population (2011 census):3540Size (square kilometres):9120Registered members:2500

#### **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

#### **Conservancy Governance**

Number of management committee members	<b>Male</b> 6	Female	Total 9
Attendance at AGM	30	25	55
Date of the last AGM:	25/07/2022		
Date of the next AGM:	Jul-23		
Other important issues  Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	<b>√</b>		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Type	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Maintainance Of Water Infrastructure	Villages	7
Social Benefits	Diesel Supply	Villages	19
	Funeral Assistance	Members	35
Meat Distribution	Game Meat	Households	
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset	Members	7

#### **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	13	2	15
Number of Community Game Guards	12	0	12
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

#### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
Member engag	gement				The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
Benefit plannir	ng				The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A		