N≠a Jaqna **Natural Resource Report**

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators



Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the


reasonable

weak/bad

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.


Human wildlife conflict



Most troublesome problem animals 2018-2020


the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species

2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019




Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type



Poaching



Number of incidents per year





Wildlife removals - quota use and value

good

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

	Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020						Potential	
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Duiker	8	3	5							2,000	189
Eland*	5	1	4								6,964
Elephant*	5	3	2	3	2				5	339,800	90,000
Gemsbok	5	2	3	2					2	6,300	2,916
Kudu *	4	1	3							11,100	10,842
Leopard	3	3		2					2	96,900	
Steenbok	24	3	21	2					2	2,000	162
Warthog	3	1	2							3,700	540


N≠a Jaqna

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information


Natural Resource Report continued...

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status



Wildlife introductions



Wildlife mortalities




Times burned Fire 1 - 2 7 - 10

N#a Jaqna



30% of conservancy area burned

Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Flags

No change


No change,

Increasing


Predator monitoring


15 - 20


charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years




decreasing















N≠a Jaqna Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your Governance institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:July 2003Population (2011 census):3540Size (square kilometres):9120Registered members:2342

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

N/A

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

✓

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	5	3	8
Attendance at AGM	35	30	65
Date of the last AGM:	27/07/2020		
Date of the next AGM:	July 2021		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	√		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Boreholes For Community	Villages	6
Social Benefits	Food Supplied To Schools	Schools	16
	Funeral Benefits	Households	26
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members	Villages	15

Employment

Male	Female	Total
15	2	17
12		12
	15	

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
1 Member engagement				The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
2a Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
2b Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
3 Accountability				Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
4 Compliance				The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)				
5 Stakeholder engagement				The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
6 Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes: none	weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A	