maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators




Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years;



Poaching







Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

· Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years

b) is shared with other conservancies

7												
		(Quota 2021			Anin	nals actua	illy used i	n 2021		Pote	ntial
	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot &		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
	Crocodile	4	1	3								
	Duiker	7	2	5		3				3		189
	Elephant*	4	2	2	2	1				4		
	Hippo	6	3	3	3	1				6		7,425
	Impala	3	1	2	1	2				3		918
	Kudu	6	3	3						1		3,483
	Lechwe	6	6		5					5		
	Reedbuck	1	1		1					1		
	Sable*	0.33	0.33									
	Warthog	5	1	4								540
1												



Kwandu

Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

A2

Increasing

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status



Fixed route patrols

4


charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years



decreasing


Flags

Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities

202 203 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202





Locally rare species


No change




Vegetation monitoring











KwanduInstitutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: December 1999

Population (2011 census): 3520

Size (square kilometres): 190

Registered members: 1761

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee	Male	Female	Total
members	9	4	13
Attendance at AGM			110
Date of the last AGM:	06/12/2021		
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-22		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Employment

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	12	13	25	
Number of Community Game Guards	7	5	12	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	✓
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	×
Was the financial report presented and approved?	\checkmark

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Building Materials	Sub-khuta	6
Social Benefits	Funeral Assisstance		
	Scholarship Support		
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members		
Other Benefits	Trustees Payment	People	3
	Vice Patrons	People	6

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	