

!Khoro!Goreb Natural Resource Report



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Human wildlife conflict Poaching Performance Indicators Management performance in 2020 Human wildlife conflict trend Number of incidents per year the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators **Performance** number of incidents per category 1 Adequate staffing Subsistence Leopard Other Predators Commercial 2 Adequate expenditure Other Herbivores Elephant High Value 120 3 Audit attendance 2.5 100 4 NR management plan 80 5 Zonation 1.5 6 Leadership 40 7 Display of material 20 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 2017 2017 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2020 10 Compliance 11 Game census Most troublesome problem animals 2018-2020 Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; 12 Reporting & adaptive management the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species 13 Law enforcement ☐ Firearms recovered The most troublesome species ■Traps/snares recovered 14 Human Wildlife Conflict in 2020 are on the left 1.2 50 1 15 Harvesting management 40 0.8 16 Sources of NR income 30 0.6 The least troublesome species 17 Benefits produced 20 0.4 18 Resource trends 0.2 10 19 Resource targets 201 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2010 Jackal Teobard Caracal Elebhaut Cheefah HAseus Bapoou Key to performance indicators Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020 Arrests and convictions weak/bad the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; reasonable good number of incidents per category the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a 160 maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the 140 **■** Convictions 120 100 Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in 80 place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good 60 rating in all 17 indicators. 40 Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not 20 considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a Crop damage Livestock Other damage Human attack theoretical optimal situation. 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2011 2018 2019 2020

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a		Quota 2020		Animals actually used in 2020				Potential				
single animal:	Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use &	Shoot & Sell		Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use
Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the				USE		Premium	Jell	Q Jaie	Allillai			
conservancy landscape	Kudu*	2	1	1							9,900	10,84
trophy values vary depending on trophy	Leopard	1	1								38,900	
quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area												
Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species												
or												
the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High												
value species are never used for meat												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1												
a) over a period of several years and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												



!Khoro!Goreb


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

Natural Resource Report continued...

A2


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Vegetation monitoring


Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-Apr of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long-term average (2003-2019)



Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.


Annual game count


Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status flags reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.





Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years











!Khoro!Goreb Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: September 2011

Population (2011 census): 1010

Size (square kilometres): 1283

Registered members: 323

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓	
Were elections held?		
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓	
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓	
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓	

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	6	5	11
Attendance at AGM	65	79	144
Date of the last AGM:	31/12/2020		
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-21		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number		

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	6		6
Number of Community Game Guards	5		5
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Pei	rformance Cate	gory	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
1 Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
2a Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
2b Benefit dist	ribution				The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
3 Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
4 Compliance					The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)					
5 Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
6 Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A		