
# Kasika Natural Resource Report

A1

## maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

## **Performance Indicators**




Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

## **Human wildlife conflict**




#### Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species





#### Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years;



### **Poaching**







### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

## Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an \*). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

b) is shared with other conservancies

		(	Quota 202:	1	Animals actually used in 2021						Potential	
	Species	Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$		
	Buffalo	18	13	5	13	4				18		7,425
	Crocodile	3	2	1	2	1				3		
	Elephant*	2	1	1	1	1				2		
	Hippo	7	4	3	4	2				7		7,425



#### Kasika


## Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

# **A2**

# monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


#### **Current wildlife numbers and status**




**Flags** 

## **Fixed route patrols**


charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years




No change




#### Wildlife introductions




## Wildlife mortalities




#### **Vegetation monitoring**






## Locally rare species



## Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





# Kasika Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

# С

## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

## **Conservancy Statistics**

Date Registered:December 2005Population (2011 census):1130Size (square kilometres):147Registered members:962

## **Key Compliance Requirements**

Was an AGM held?	✓
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓ .
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	<b>✓</b>

### **Conservancy Governance**

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	8	5	13
Attendance at AGM	56	60	116
Date of the last AGM:	29/11/2021		
Date of the next AGM:	Nov-22		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	<		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

#### **Benefit Distribution**

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Community Devp.	Two Boreholes Drilled	Households	34
Social Benefits	Financial Support For Soccer	People	
	Financial Support To Churches	Churches	
	Financial Support To Schools	Schools	
	Financial Support To Sipela Group	People	19
	Funeral Support		
<b>Meat Distribution</b>	Meat	People	962
Other Benefits	Hwc Offset	People	15
	Та	People	2

## **Employment**

	Male	Female	Total	
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	10	9	19	
Number of Community Game Guards	7	4	11	
Number of Community Resource Monitors				

### Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A	