

Okamatapati Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your **Governance** institution audit for more information

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: September 2005

Population (2011 census): 1820

Size (square kilometres): 3096

Registered members: 115

Key Compliance Requirements

| ✓ |
|----------|
| ✓ |
| × |
| × |
| √ |
| |

Conservancy Governance

| | Male | Female | Total |
|--|------------|--------|-------|
| Number of management committee members | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| Attendance at AGM | 50 | 31 | 81 |
| Date of the last AGM: | 16/12/2021 | | |
| Date of the next AGM: | November | | |
| Other important issues | | | |
| Budget approved? | ✓ | | |
| Work plan approved? | ✓ | | |
| Annual conservancy report approved? | ✓ | | |
| | | | |

Benefit Distribution

| Туре | Description | Beneficiary | Number |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Meat Distribution | Meat To Members | | |
| Other Benefits | Hwc Offset | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | 100 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ |
| | | | • |
| | | | • |
| | | | |
| | | | * |
| | | | |
| | | | $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Employment

| | Male | Female | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|--|
| Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM) | 8 | 1 | 9 | |
| Number of Community Game Guards | 8 | 1 | 9 | |
| Number of Community Resource Monitors | | | | |
| | | | | |

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

| Per | rformance Cate | gory | This Year | Prev. Year | Explanation of performance category | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|------|--------------|---------------|--|-------------|--|-----|--------------|
| Member engag | gement | | | | The conservancy is adequately engaging its members | | | | |
| Benefit plannir | ng | | | | The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner | | | | |
| Benefit distrib | ution | | | | The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable man | | | | table manner |
| Accountability | | | | | Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable | | | | |
| Stakeholder er | ngagement | | | | The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders | | | | |
| Financial mana | gement | | | | The conservancy is effectively managing its finances | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Colour codes: | none | weak | modera | ite | strong | exceptional | | N/A | |