


George Mukoya Natural Resource Report



maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators Management performance in 2020 Category **Performance** 1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate expenditure 3 Audit attendance 4 NR management plan 5 Zonation 6 Leadership 7 Display of material 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 10 Compliance 11 Game census 12 Reporting & adaptive management 13 Law enforcement 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 15 Harvesting management 16 Sources of NR income 17 Benefits produced 18 Resource trends



19 Resource targets

theoretical optimal situation.

Key to performance indicators


maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.


Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in

place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a

Human wildlife conflict







Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type


70




Poaching



Number of incidents per year





Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

	Quota 2020			Animals actually used in 2020					Potential		
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Duiker	2	1	1							2,300	189
Eland*	1.5	1.5		1					1		6,964
Elephant*	2.5	2	0.5	1					2	258,600	90,000
Gemsbok	5	2	3		2				2	3,700	2,916
Giraffe	2.5	1	1.5						1	6,800	15,120
Impala	2	1	1		1				1	1,500	918
Kudu	5	1	4		1				1	9,500	3,483
Leopard	0.166	0.166								40,600	
Roan*	1	1		1					1	75,300	62,250
Steenbok	0.5	0.5								3,500	
Warthog	2	1	1							2,300	540



George Mukoya

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information


Natural Resource Report continued...

A2

Increasing

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...


Current wildlife numbers and status



Desired Number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.


dark green (abundant) - reduce a lot; light green (common) - reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) - keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) - double numbers;

dark orange (very rare) - more than double numbers.




No change

No change,




decreasing


Annual Game Count Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km of transect Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years




Flags




Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities




Locally rare species



Vegetation monitoring




Fire monitoring



Predator monitoring

Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





George Mukoya Institutional Report

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered:September 2005Population (2011 census):910Size (square kilometres):486Registered members:752

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?

Were elections held?

Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?

Is game managed according to the GMUP?

Was the financial report presented and approved?

✓

Conservancy Governance

Ni. make an of many and many transition	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members	1	9	10
Attendance at AGM	85	151	236
Date of the last AGM:	14/12/2020		
Date of the next AGM:	Nov-21		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	✓		
Annual conservancy report approved?	4		

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Funeral Assistance	Households	4
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members	Villages	5
Other Benefits	Hwc Offsets	People	10

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	10	5	15
Number of Community Game Guards	7	4	11
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category			This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
1 Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
2a Benefit planning					The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
2b Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
3 Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
4 Compliance					The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)					
5 Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
6 Financial management					The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A		