Dzoti

Natural Resource Report

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators




weak/bad reasonable good

Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.

Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.


Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




Most troublesome problem animals 2019-2021

the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2019-2021


the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type




Poaching



Number of incidents per year





Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

• Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

or

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years and/or

and/or
b) is shared with other conservancies

	(Quota 2021			Animals actually used in 2021						Potential	
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$	
Baboon	5	5										
Buffalo	12	8	4	8	3				12		7,425	
Crocodile	2	1	1	1	1				2			
Duiker	6	2	4								189	
Elephant*	6	4	2	2	1				4			
Hippo	6	4	2	3	1				5		7,425	
Impala	3	3		2					2			
Kudu	3	3		1					1			
Lechwe	2	2		1					1			
Reedbuck	1	1		1					1			
Roan*	1	1		1					1			
Warthog	4	3	1								540	
B. Zebra	7	5	2	1					1		4,725	



Dzoti


Natural Resource Report continued...

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

A2

monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Flags

Fixed route patrols


charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years




No change




Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities





Locally rare species




Vegetation monitoring











DzotiInstitutional Report

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: October 2009

Population (2011 census): 1460

Size (square kilometres): 287

Registered members: 3025

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	✓	
Were elections held?	✓	
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓	
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓	
Was the financial report presented and approved?	✓	

Conservancy Governance

Total
16
92

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Funeral Support	People	
Meat Distribution	Meat	Households	
Other Benefits	Hwc	People	
	Та	People	

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	16	10	26
Number of Community Game Guards	11	7	18
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes: none weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional	N/A		