

Natural Resource Report

Dzoti

A1

maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Performance Indicators



Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the indicator.


reasonable

weak/bad


Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good rating in all 17 indicators.

Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a theoretical optimal situation.

Human wildlife conflict




the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species




Type of damage by problem animals 2018-2020

the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years;



Poaching



Number of incidents per vear

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to



2012 2013 2014 2012 2016 2011 2018 2013 2020

20

10



Wildlife removals - quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a single animal:

· Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape

trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area

• Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species

the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High value species are never used for meat

Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1 animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years

b) is shared with other conservancies

	(Quota 2020)		Anin	nals actua	ally used i	n 2020		Pote	ntial
Species	Total	Trophy	Other Use	Trophy	Own Use & Premium	Shoot & Sell	Capture & Sale	Problem Animal	Total Use	Trophy Value N\$	Other use Value N\$
Baboon	5	5								400	
Buffalo	12	8	4	1	4				5	79,200	7,425
Crocodile	2	1	1							29,300	
Duiker	6	2	4							1,900	189
Elephant*	6	4	2		1				1	220,800	90,000
Hippo	6	4	2							35,500	7,425
Impala	3	3		2					2	2,700	
Kudu	3	3								5,900	
Lechwe	2	2		1					1	20,900	
Reedbuck	1	1		1					1	7,700	
Roan*	1	1		1					1	61,700	62,250
Warthog	4	3	1							2,300	540
B. Zebra	7	5	2		1				1	5,100	4,725



Dzoti

Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

A2

Increasing


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status




Desired Number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – reduce a lot; light green (common) – reduce a little; yellow (uncommon) – keep numbers the same; light orange (rare) – double numbers; dark orange (very rare) – more than double numbers.




No change




decreasing


Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year. Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years







Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities



Locally rare species




Vegetation monitoring




Fire monitoring

Flags



Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year Status flags reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years





DzotiInstitutional Report

С

Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: October 2009

Population (2011 census): 1460

Size (square kilometres): 287

Registered members: 3025

Key Compliance Requirements

Was an AGM held?	×
Were elections held?	N/A
Were benefits distributed according to the BDP?	✓
Is game managed according to the GMUP?	✓
Was the financial report presented and approved?	×

Conservancy Governance

		F 1 .		
Number of management committee	Male	Female	Total	
members	5	8	13	
Attendance at AGM				
Date of the last AGM:				
Date of the next AGM:				
Other important issues				
Budget approved?				
Work plan approved?				
Annual conservancy report approved?				

Benefit Distribution

Туре	Description	Beneficiary	Number
Social Benefits	Payment For Funerals	Households	3
	Payment To Churches	Churches	6
	Payment To Schools	Schools	2
	Scholarships	Students	5
Meat Distribution	Meat To Members	People	283
Other Benefits	Ta Benefits	People	12

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	14	10	24
Number of Community Game Guards	10	6	16
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category	This Year	Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category				
1 Member engagement			The conservancy is adequately engaging its members				
2a Benefit planning			The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner				
2b Benefit distribution			The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner				
3 Accountability			Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable				
4 Compliance			The conservancy is compliant with the standard operating proceedures (SOPs)				
5 Stakeholder engagement			The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders				
6 Financial management			The conservancy is effectively managing its finances				
Colour codes: none weak	modera	te	strong	exceptional		N/A	