maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Human wildlife conflict Poaching Performance Indicators Management performance in 2022 Human wildlife conflict trend Number of incidents per year the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators **Performance** number of incidents per category Hyena 1 Adequate staffing Subsistence Leopard Other Predators Commercial 2 Adequate expenditure Elephant Other Herbivores High Value 140 3 Audit attendance 3.5 120 4 NR management plan 3 100 2.5 5 Zonation 80 6 Leadership 60 1.5 40 7 Display of material 20 0.5 8 Event Book modules 9 Event Book quality 2014 2015 2016 2013 2018 2018 2010 2017 2017 10 Compliance 11 Game census Most troublesome problem animals 2020-2022 Traps and firearms recovered number of incidents per category 12 Reporting & adaptive management the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species 13 Law enforcement ☐ Firearms recovered The most troublesome species ■Traps/snares recovered 14 Human Wildlife Conflict 80 in 2022 are on the left 70 15 Harvesting management 60 3 The least troublesome species 50 16 Sources of NR income in 2022 are on the right 40 17 Benefits produced 30 20 18 Resource trends N/A 19 Resource targets N/A 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2017 2017 lackal Leopard Cheetah Hyaena Caracal Wild dog Elebhant Key to performance indicators Type of damage by problem animals 2020-2022 Arrests and convictions weak/bad the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; reasonable good number of incidents per category the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type Performance is assessed on a scoring system from zero (none) to a 160 maximum of between 3 and 6 (strong/excellent) depending on the 140 ■ Convictions 120 100 Indicators 1-17 reflect the performance of the management team in 80 place in the conservancy and an efficient team can achieve a good 60 rating in all 17 indicators. 40 Indicators 18 & 19 are influenced by external factors and are not 20 considered a reflection of conservancy management. They indicate the current status of wildlife in the conservancy in relation to a Crop damage Other damage Human attack Livestock theoretical optimal situation. 2014 2015 2016 2011 2018 2018 2010 2011 2011

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N\$) for a			Quota 2022 Animals actually used in 2022					Potential				
single animal:	Species	Total	Trophy	Other	Trophy	Own Use &	Shoot &		Problem	Total Use	Trophy	Other use
Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the				Use		Premium	Sell & S	& Sale	Animal		Value N\$	Value N\$
conservancy landscape												
trophy values vary depending on trophy						۲۵۱۰	,					
quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area					11,	ilisatio						
Potential other use value - the average most value for someon analise.					Non							
meat value for common species												
or												
the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *). High												
value species (indicated with all). Fight												
Fractions of animals indicate that a quota of 1												
animal was awarded with conditions i.e. a) over a period of several years												
and/or b) is shared with other conservancies												
of is shared with other conservancies												



African Wild Dog

Natural Resource Report continued...


Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your **Event Book** for more information

A2


monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status






Wildlife introductions




Wildlife mortalities




Annual game count - not undertaken in the east

Locally rare species



Locally rare species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Flags







African Wild Dog Institutional Report

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your Governance institution audit for more information



Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy Statistics

Date Registered: September 2005

Population (2011 census): 4370

Size (square kilometres): 3824

Registered members: 1200

Key Compliance Requirements

~
N/A
✓
✓
✓

Conservancy Governance

	Male	Female	Total
Number of management committee members		5	12
Attendance at AGM	49	15	64
Date of the last AGM:	14/12/2022	<u> </u>	
Date of the next AGM:	Dec-23		
Other important issues			
Budget approved?	✓		
Work plan approved?	<		
Annual conservancy report approved?	✓		

Benefit Distribution

Type Meat Distribution	Description Game Meat	Beneficiary	Number		

Employment

	Male	Female	Total
Conservancy staff (Incl. CGG & CRM)	10	1	11
Number of Community Game Guards	7	0	7
Number of Community Resource Monitors			

Governance Performance Rating How well did the conservancy perform in the past year?

Performance Category				Prev. Year	Explanation of performance category					
Member engagement					The conservancy is adequately engaging its members					
Benefit plannir	ng				The conservancy developed its BDP in a transparent and participatory manner					
Benefit distribution					The conservancy distributes benefits to its members in a fair, transparent and equitable manner					
Accountability					Conservancy members are holding the management committee accountable					
Stakeholder engagement					The conservancy maintains relationships with key external stakeholders					
Financial mana	gement				The conservancy is effectively managing its finances					
Colour codes:	none	weak	modera	ite	strong	exceptional		N/A		