maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014

- Approximate Total Returns N$ 2,232,670

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost N$ 30,640
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0
- Total conflict cost estimate N$ 30,640

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014

- Natural resource returns outweigh approximate conflict costs

Management performance in 2014

- Adequate staffing 2
- Adequate expenditure 1
- Audit attendance 0
- NR management plan 1
- Zonation 1
- Leadership 2
- Display of material 1
- Event Block modules 3
- Event Block quality 2
- Compliance 1
- Game census 2
- Reporting & adaptive m/ment 0
- Law enforcement 1
- Human Wildlife Conflict 2
- Harvesting management 1
- Sources of NR income 1
- Benefits produced 0
- Resource trends 0
- Resource targets 1

Wildlife status summary in 2014

- Extinct
- Very rare
- Rare
- Uncommon
- Common
- Abundant
- Weak/bad
- Reasonable
- Good

Key to the status barometer

Success/threat flags

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

- Species
- Quota 2014
- Animals actually used in 2014

Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:
- Potential trophy value: the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value: the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an “*”) (high value species are never used for meat)

Human wildlife conflict

- Total Returns N$ 2,232,670
- Approximate conflict costs: N$ 30,640
- Approximate positive ratio 73:1

Poaching

- Number of incidents per year
- Traps and firearms recovered

Most troublesome problem animals 2012-2014

- The most troublesome species in 2014 are on the left
- The least troublesome species in 2014 are on the right

Type of damage by problem animals 2012-2014

- Livestock attack
- Other damage
- Crop damage
- Human attack

Wildlife status

- Extinct
- Very rare
- Rare
- Uncommon
- Common
- Abundant

Success/threat flags

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animal Seen 2014</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status
- Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

Locally rare species
- There should be more; gives the species status in the conservancy.
- There should be more than double; gives the species status in the conservancy.
- There should be less; gives the species status in the conservancy.
- There should be more than triple; gives the species status in the conservancy.

Wildlife introductions

Wildlife mortalities

Annual game count
Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count.

Annual rainfall

Vegetation monitoring
Green vegetation index (NDVI) Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010).

Predator monitoring
Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year.

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>December 1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constitutional adherence

- Approved constitution: ✔
- AGM held: ✔
- Management and utilisation plan: ✔
- Financial annual report: ✗
- Benefit distribution plan: ✔
- Audit of the constitution: ✗

Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 
- Date of last AGM: 15 December 2014
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: 15 December 2015

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 5, Female 2
- Community game guards: 3
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 21, Female 0

Benefits

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Needs improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>